UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,808	10/11/2005	Bernhard Gleich	DE 030124	5527
	7590 06/07/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		GUPTA, VANI		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3768		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,808	GLEICH, BERNHARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VANI GUPTA	3768		

	VANIGOFIA	3700				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		: FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIA. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	and the second second					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further con 			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13.						
/Long V Le/	/Vani Gupta/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768	Examiner, Art Unit 3768					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. As stated in previous office action, the claim language does not specify that the ground terminal must be connected to the object of interest, but rather that it is APPLICABLE AND/OR fastenable to the object. Therefore, the claim language is broad enough to encompass either the connection of the ground terminal to the patient or the application of the ground terminal within the vicinity of the patient. Cited prior art suggests that the ground terminal is APPLICABLE to the patient, as the claim clearly states. It is applicable in the sense that is directing current away from the patient to avoid electrocution. Please refer to previous office action for more details. If Applicant intends that present invention is novel over prior art because the ground terminal can be directly connected to the patient and while avoiding electrocution of the patient, then Applicant should first note that this refers to functional language and/or intended use. Furthermore, the claims do not specifically state this. If this is what Appplcaint contends, then the claims should be amended to specifically say so, keeping in mind that the amended features are STRUCTURALLY limting the present invention (apparatus).

As for the "transmit AND/OR receive unit comprising a voltage source," present Claim 1 indicates "at least one electrical transmit and/or receive unit (6), comprising at least one voltage generator." As the previous office actions states, Wang et al. suggests as much: depicted in Figure 1 and column 4, line 60 - column 5, line 55. Figure 1 of Wang et al. itself is the transmit/receive unit. Wang et al. indicates that a "number of electrical excitation SOURCES, such as currents or VOLTAGES (Fig. 1, 4) are APPIED (hence transmitted) to one or more electrical contacts (2). See also col. 5, II. 17 - 20. Hence, there is a component that transmits energy; and it comprises a voltage generator, since the component can transmit voltage energy.